

Convergence Rates of Neumann problems for Stokes Systems

Shu Gu*

Abstract

This paper studies the convergence rates in L^2 and H^1 of Neumann problems for Stokes systems with rapidly oscillating periodic coefficients, without any smoothness assumptions on the coefficients.

1 Introduction and Main Results

The purpose of this paper is to study the convergence rates of Neumann problems for Stokes systems with rapidly oscillating periodic coefficients. More precisely, we consider the following Neumann problem for Stokes systems associated with matrix A ,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + \nabla p_\varepsilon = F & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} - p_\varepsilon \cdot n = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with the compatibility condition

$$\int_{\Omega} F + \int_{\partial\Omega} f = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where n denotes the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain. Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter and the operator $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon = -\operatorname{div}(A(x/\varepsilon)\nabla) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right] \quad (1.3)$$

with $1 \leq i, j, \alpha, \beta \leq d$ (the summation convention is used throughout), and the conormal derivative $\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon}$ on $\partial\Omega$ is defined by

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} \right)^\alpha = n_i(x) a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^\beta}{\partial x_j}. \quad (1.4)$$

*Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1161154

We will assume that the coefficient matrix $A(y) = (a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y))$ is real, bounded measurable, and satisfies the ellipticity condition:

$$\mu|\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y)\xi_i^\alpha\xi_j^\beta \leq \frac{1}{\mu}|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \xi = (\xi_i^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mu > 0$, and we also assume $A(y)$ satisfies the periodicity condition,

$$A(y + z) = A(y) \quad \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (1.6)$$

A function satisfying (1.6) will be called 1-periodic.

By the homogenization theory of Stokes systems (see [1, 8]), under suitable conditions on F , f and g , it is known that

$$u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad p_\varepsilon - \int_\Omega p_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup p_0 - \int_\Omega p_0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega),$$

where $(u_0, p_0) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is the weak solution of the homogenized problem with constant coefficients,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_0(u_0) + \nabla p_0 = F & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u_0 = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu_0} - p_0 \cdot n = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

The primary purpose of this paper is to study the rate of convergence of $\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The following are the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain. Suppose A satisfies ellipticity condition (1.5) and periodicity condition (1.6). Given $F \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the compatibility condition (1.2), for $g \in H^1(\Omega)$, let $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$, (u_0, p_0) be weak solutions of Neumann problems (1.1), (1.7), respectively. Then*

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad (1.8)$$

where the constant C depends only on μ , d , and Ω .

In this paper we also obtain $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ rates for a two-scale expansion of $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ in $H^1 \times L^2$. Let (χ, π) denote the correctors associated with A , defined by (2.5), and S_ε the Steklov smoothing operator defined by (2.1).

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain. Suppose A satisfies ellipticity condition (1.5) and periodicity condition (1.6). Let $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ and (u_0, p_0) be the same as in Theorem (1.1). Then*

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0 - \varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\chi^\varepsilon(x) = \chi(x/\varepsilon)$ and $\tilde{u}_0$ is the extension of u_0 defined as in (3.1). Moreover, if $\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega p_0 = 0$, then

$$\|p_\varepsilon - p_0 - [\pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) - \int_\Omega \pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)]\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\pi^\varepsilon(x) = \pi(x/\varepsilon)$. The constants C in (1.9) and (1.10) depend only on μ , d , and Ω .

The problem of convergence rates has been playing an essential role in quantitative homogenization. Most recent work on the problem of convergence rates in periodic homogenization may be found in [5–7, 9–11, 15–21] and their references.

We now describe the known L^2 convergence results on Neumann problems for general elliptic equations and systems with rapidly oscillating periodic coefficients. There are relatively fewer known results for convergence rates in the case of the Neumann boundary conditions than Dirichlet cases. Consider the Neumann problem for the scalar elliptic equation $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = -\operatorname{div}(A(x/\varepsilon)\nabla u_\varepsilon) = F$ in Ω with $\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, the estimate $\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|F\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$ was proved by Griso [6] for $C^{1,1}$ domains with bounded measurable coefficients using the “periodic unfolding” method [2, 3], and it was also proved in [14] for curvilinear convex polygons Ω in $\mathbb{R}^2$. For the system case, consider elliptic systems $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = F$ in Ω with Neumann condition $\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} = g$ on $\partial\Omega$, C. Kenig, F. Lin and Z. Shen [10] have shown that the estimate (1.8) hold in bounded Lipschitz domain Ω , under additional assumption that A is Hölder continuous. The approaches used in [10] were based on the explicit computation of conormal derivative of v_ε and uniform regularity for the L^2 Neumann problem in [12, 13]. Here $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - u_0 - \varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon\nabla u_0$ is the discrepancy between solution and its first order approximation. Moreover, if A is Hölder continuous and symmetric, it was proved in [10] that $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$.

However, the method used in [10] does not apply to operators with bounded measurable coefficients, since the correctors χ may not be bounded. Recently, by using the Steklov smoothing operator, T. A. Suslina [20, 21] was able to establish the $O(\varepsilon)$ estimate (1.8) in L^2 for a broader class of elliptic operators, which, in particular contains the elliptic systems $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ in divergence form with coefficients satisfying the ellipticity condition $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\xi_i^\alpha\xi_j^\beta \geq \mu|\xi|^2$ for any $\xi = (\xi_i^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$. Consider the following discrepancy between u_ε and its first order approximation $u_0 + \varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)$

$$v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - u_0 - \varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0), \quad (1.11)$$

where S_ε is a smoothing operator at scale ε and $\tilde{u}_0$ an extension of u_0 to $\mathbb{R}^d$ (also see [16, 17, 23] and their references on the use of S_ε in homogenization). Relying on the sharp convergence rates for the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the estimate on the boundary layer corrector term, the $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ convergence (1.9) in H^1 can be obtained. The $O(\varepsilon)$ estimate in L^2 then can be deduced by applying the estimate (1.9) to an adjoint problem and a duality argument.

In this paper we extend the approach of Suslina to the case of Stokes systems, which certainly do not fit the standard framework of second-order elliptic systems in divergence form. As expected in the study of Stokes or Navier-Stokes systems, the main difficulty is caused by the pressure term p_ε . In contrast to [20, 21], we will use a more direct approach that does not require the convergence rates in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ and thus we may avoid the estimates of boundary layer correctors. By carefully analyzing the systems for the correctors (χ, π) as well as their dual $(\phi_{kin}^{\alpha\beta}, q_{ij}^\beta)$ (see Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3), we are able to establish the $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ error estimates for the two-scale expansions of $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ in $H^1 \times L^2$,

given in Theorem 1.2, delivered by the following key intermediate step.

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} A^{\varepsilon} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \right| \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \left[\|\operatorname{div}(\varphi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})} + \varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right],$$

for any $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \varepsilon\}$. This may allow us to apply the $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ estimate to solutions of an adjoint problems, and further obtain the $O(\varepsilon)$ estimate in L^2 by a duality argument.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall a few basic properties of the Steklov smoothing operator S_{ε} as well as the homogenization theory for Stokes systems with periodic coefficients. In Section 3 we study $u_0 + \varepsilon \chi^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon} \nabla \tilde{u}_0$ as the first order approximation of u_{ε} . We introduce the dual correctors (ϕ, q) and use our key step to establish the estimate (1.9) in H^1 . In Section 4 we study the convergence of p_{ε} and prove the error estimate (1.10) for the two-scale expansion of the pressure term. Finally, our main theorem Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 5. This is done by using duality, and by applying error estimates obtained in Sections 3 and 4 to an adjoint systems.

Throughout this paper, we denote $Y = [0, 1]^d$ and define the L^1 average of f over the set E as

$$\fint_E f = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f.$$

We will use C to denote constants that may depend on d, μ or Ω , but never on ε .

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Smoothing in Steklov's sense

Let S_{ε} be the operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$$(S_{\varepsilon} u)(x) = \fint_Y u(x - \varepsilon z) dz \quad (2.1)$$

and called the *Steklov smoothing operator*. Note that

$$\|S_{\varepsilon} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Obviously, $D^{\alpha} S_{\varepsilon} u = S_{\varepsilon} D^{\alpha} u$ for $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and any multiindex α such that $|\alpha| \leq s$. Therefore,

$$\|S_{\varepsilon} u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The following are a few properties of Steklov's operator; see [20, 21] for details.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$\|S_{\varepsilon} u - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where C does not depend on ε .

We will use the notation $f^\varepsilon(x) = f(x/\varepsilon)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f(x)$ be a 1-periodic function in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $f \in L^2(Y)$. Then for any $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\|f^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(Y)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

2.2 Homogenization of Stokes system

We refer the reader to [1, 8] for more details of the following weak solution and homogenization theory of Stokes system.

Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $u, v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the bilinear form $a_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot)$ as

$$a_\varepsilon(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial u^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v^\alpha}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

For $F \in H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, we say that $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the following Stokes system in Ω

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) + \nabla p_\varepsilon = F \\ \operatorname{div} u_\varepsilon = g, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

if for any $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$a_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, \varphi) - \int_{\Omega} p_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\varphi) = \langle F, \varphi \rangle$$

and $\operatorname{div}(u_\varepsilon) = g$ in Ω (in the sense of distribution).

Theorem 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose $A(y)$ satisfies the ellipticity condition (1.5). Let $F \in H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the compatibility condition (1.2). Then there exist a unique (in the sense of up to constants) $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $p_\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ is a weak solution of (2.2) and $\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} = f$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover,*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|p_\varepsilon - \oint_{\Omega} p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \|F\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where C depends only on d, ν , and Ω .

Theorem 2.3 is proved by using the Lax-Milgram Theorem. We mention that if Ω is $C^{1,1}$ and A is a constant matrix, the weak solution (u, p) , given by Theorem 2.3, is in $H^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times H^1(\Omega)$, provided that $F \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover,

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where C depends only on d , μ , and Ω (see e.g. [4]).

We denote by $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ the closure in $H^1(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ of $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$, the set of C^∞ 1-periodic and $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued functions in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let

$$a_{\text{per}}(\psi, \phi) = \int_Y a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) \frac{\partial \psi^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \phi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} dy,$$

where $\psi, \phi \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Define

$$V_{\text{per}}(Y) = \left\{ u \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y; \mathbb{R}^d) : \operatorname{div}(u) = 0 \text{ in } Y \text{ and } \int_Y u = 0 \right\}.$$

By applying the Lax-Milgram Theorem to $a_{\text{per}}(\psi, \phi)$ on the Hilbert space $V_{\text{per}}(Y)$, one may show that for each $1 \leq j, \beta \leq d$, there exist 1-periodic functions $(\chi_j^\beta, \pi_j^\beta) \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which are called the correctors for the Stokes system (2.2), such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1(\chi_j^\beta + P_j^\beta) + \nabla \pi_j^\beta = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \operatorname{div} \chi_j^\beta = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \int_Y \pi_j^\beta = 0, \int_Y \chi_j^\beta = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where $P_j^\beta = P_j^\beta(y) = y_j e^\beta = y_j(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ with 1 in the β^{th} position. Note that

$$\|\chi_j^\beta\|_{H^1(Y)} + \|\pi_j^\beta\|_{L^2(Y)} \leq C.$$

The homogenized system for the Stokes system (2.2) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_0(u_0) + \nabla p_0 = F \\ \operatorname{div} u_0 = g, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_0 = -\operatorname{div}(\widehat{A}\nabla)$ is a second-order elliptic operator with constant coefficients, and $\widehat{A} = (\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta})$, with

$$\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = a_{\text{per}}(\chi_j^\beta + P_j^\beta, \chi_i^\alpha + P_i^\alpha).$$

We remark that $(\widehat{A})^* = \widehat{A}^*$, and the effective matrix $\widehat{A}$ satisfies the ellipticity condition $\mu|\xi|^2 \leq \widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_i^\alpha \xi_j^\beta \leq \mu_1|\xi|^2$, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and μ_1 depends only on d and μ . The following is a homogenization theorem for the Stokes system.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose $A(y)$ satisfies ellipticity condition (1.5) and periodicity condition (1.6). Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ to be a weak solution of (1.1), where $F \in H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume that $\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon = 0$. Then as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0 & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \\ u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 & \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \\ p_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup p_0 & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ A(x/\varepsilon)\nabla u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \widehat{A}\nabla u_0 & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, (u_0, p_0) is the weak solution of the homogenized problem (1.7).

3 Convergence rates for u_ε in H^1

From now on we will assume that Ω is a bounded domain with boundary of class $C^{1,1}$, $F \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in H^1(\Omega)$, and $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$. We fix a linear continuous extension operator

$$E_\Omega : H^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d),$$

and let

$$\tilde{u}_0 = E_\Omega u_0, \quad (3.1)$$

so that $\tilde{u}_0 = u_0$ in Ω and

$$\|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where C depends on Ω . We define $u_0 + \varepsilon \chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)$ as a first order approximation of u_ε , and let

$$v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - u_0 - \varepsilon \chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0). \quad (3.3)$$

To show $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$, for $1 \leq i, j, \alpha, \beta \leq d$, we let

$$b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) = a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) + a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} (\chi_j^{\gamma\beta}) - \widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ is 1-periodic. By the definition of χ and $\widehat{A}$, $b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \in L^2(Y)$ satisfies

$$\int_Y b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) dy = 0.$$

and, for each $1 \leq \alpha, \beta, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(y) \frac{\partial \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}}{\partial y_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(y)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(y) \frac{\partial P_j^{\gamma\beta}}{\partial y_k} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} (\pi_j^\beta) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} (\pi_j^\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exist $\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta} \in H_{per}^1(Y)$ and $q_{ij}^\beta \in H_{per}^1(Y)$ such that*

$$b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} (\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} (q_{ij}^\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta} = -\Phi_{ikj}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover,

$$\|\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|q_{ij}^\beta\|_{L^2(Y)} \leq C, \quad (3.7)$$

where C depends only on d and μ .

Proof. Fix $1 \leq i, j, \beta \leq d$. There exist $f_{ij}^\beta = \{f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\} \in H_{\text{per}}^2(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $q_{ij}^\beta \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$ satisfying the following Stokes system,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta f_{ij}^\beta + \nabla q_{ij}^\beta = b_{ij}^\beta & \text{in } Y, \\ \operatorname{div} f_{ij}^\beta = 0 & \text{in } Y, \\ \int_Y f_{ij}^\beta dy = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where $b_{ij}^\beta = (b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta})$. We now define

$$\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}(f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}(f_{kj}^{\alpha\beta})$$

Clearly, $\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta} \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$ and $\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta} = -\Phi_{ikj}^{\alpha\beta}$. Note that, by (3.5) and (3.8), $\frac{\partial f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y_i} \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \left(\frac{\partial f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y_i} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial q_{ij}^\beta}{\partial y_i} \right) + \frac{\partial b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} \left(\pi_j^\beta - \frac{\partial q_{ij}^\beta}{\partial y_i} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y_i} \right) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

It follows by the energy estimates that $\frac{\partial f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y_i}$ is a constant. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}(\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k \partial y_k}(f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}(f_{kj}^{\alpha\beta}) \right) = b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}(q_{ij}^\beta)$$

Furthermore, since $\|\chi_j^\beta\|_{H^1(Y)} \leq C$, then

$$\|\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|q_{ij}^\beta\|_{L^2(Y)} \leq C \|b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^2(Y)} \leq C,$$

where C depends only on d and μ . This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Recall that π_j^β and q_{ij}^β are both 1-periodic. By (3.9) and the fact that $\frac{\partial f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y_i}$ is constant, we see that π_j^β and $\frac{\partial q_{ij}^\beta}{\partial y_i}$ differ only by a constant. Since $\int_Y \pi_j^\beta = 0$, we get,

$$\pi_j^\beta = \frac{\partial q_{ij}^\beta}{\partial y_i}. \quad (3.10)$$

Lemma 3.3. Let Ω be a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain. Suppose A satisfies ellipticity condition (1.5) and periodicity condition (1.6). Given $F \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying the compatibility condition (1.2), for $g \in H^1(\Omega)$, $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$, (u_0, p_0) are weak solutions of

Neumann problems (1.1) and (1.7), respectively. If v_ε is defined as (3.3), then v_ε satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon))^\alpha = -\frac{\partial[p_\varepsilon - p_0]}{\partial x_\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left([\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] \left[S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \right) \\ \quad + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}(v_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \chi_j^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_j} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \left(\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} \right)^\alpha = n_\alpha [p_\varepsilon - p_0] - n_i [\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] \left[S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \\ \quad - \varepsilon n_i a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} - n_i b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{div}(u_\varepsilon) = \operatorname{div}(u_0)$, and recall that $\operatorname{div}(\chi) = 0$, hence

$$\operatorname{div}(v_\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon \chi_j^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_j}.$$

Now we compute $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon)$, by using the definition of b ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon))^\alpha &= -\frac{\partial[p_\varepsilon - p_0]}{\partial x_\alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left([\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} [\varepsilon \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial[p_\varepsilon - p_0]}{\partial x_\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left([\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] \left[S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we deal with the conormal derivative of v_ε , similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} \right)^\alpha &= n_i a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon^\beta}{\partial x_j} - n_i \widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} + n_i [\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \\ &\quad - n_i a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\varepsilon \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon)) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon n_i a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \\ &= n_\alpha [p_\varepsilon - p_0] - n_i [\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon)] \left[S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \\ &\quad - \varepsilon n_i a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} - n_i b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have given the system v_ε satisfied. $\square$

For $r > 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial\Omega)_r &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq r\}, \\ \Omega_r &= \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a cut-off function $\theta_\varepsilon(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the following conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varepsilon &\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{supp}(\theta_\varepsilon) \subset (\partial\Omega)_\varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq \theta_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1, \\ \theta_\varepsilon|_{\partial\Omega} &= 1, \quad |\nabla\theta_\varepsilon| \leq \kappa/\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The following statements are estimates for integrals near boundary, see [21] for example.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded C^1 domain. Then, for any function $u \in H^1(\Omega)$,*

$$\int_{\Omega_r} |u|^2 dx \leq Cr \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover, for any 1-periodic function $f \in L^2(Y)$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\int_{(\partial\Omega)_\varepsilon} |f^\varepsilon|^2 |S_\varepsilon u|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2(Y)} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad (3.14)$$

where C depends only on Ω .

We will now use the above statement as well as the following key lemma to give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.5. *Let v_ε be defined as in (3.3). Then, for any $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$|a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi)| \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \left[\|\text{div}(\varphi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right], \quad (3.15)$$

where the constant C depends only on μ , d , and Ω .

Proof. For any $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, by using Lemma 3.3 and integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi) &= \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} = \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon))^\alpha \varphi^\alpha + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} \right)^\alpha \varphi^\alpha \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (p_\varepsilon - p_0) \text{div}(\varphi) - \int_{\Omega} \left[\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right] \left[S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} - \int_{\Omega} b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we may rewrite the last term in (3.16) as

$$- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\varepsilon \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \left(\varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) \right) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} = R_1 + R_2. \quad (3.17)$$

For the first integral R_1 ,

$$R_1 = -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} = R_{1a} + R_{1b}. \quad (3.18)$$

The second term R_{1b} can be dealt in the same way as for the third term in (3.16), so we will need a more delicate way to treat R_{1a} , recall that Φ is anti-symmetric and $\theta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1a} &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left([\theta_{\varepsilon} + 1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}] \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\theta_{\varepsilon} \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_i} \left((1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}) \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi^{\alpha} \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\theta_{\varepsilon} \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Similarly for R_2 ,

$$R_2 = -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \left(q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} = R_{2a} + R_{2b}. \quad (3.20)$$

Again, it will need some effort on the term R_{2b} , recalling that $\theta_{\varepsilon} \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2a} &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \left([\theta_{\varepsilon} + 1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}] q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \left(\theta_{\varepsilon} q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_i} \left((1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}) q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \varphi^{\alpha} \\ &= -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \left(\theta_{\varepsilon} q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left((1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}) q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \operatorname{div}(\varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

By remark 3.2, more precisely that,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2a} &= \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\varepsilon \frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) - (1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}) \pi_j^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon (1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}) q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} \right] \operatorname{div}(\varphi) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \left(\theta_{\varepsilon} q_{ij}^{\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Therefore, we have updated (3.16) as

$$a_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}, \varphi) = I_1[\varphi] + I_2[\varphi] + I_3[\varphi], \quad (3.23)$$

where I_1 , I_2 , and I_3 are defined as the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1[\varphi] &= \int_{\Omega} \left[p_{\varepsilon} - p_0 - [(1 - \theta_{\varepsilon})\pi^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \nabla \theta_{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon}] S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) - \varepsilon (1 - \theta_{\varepsilon}) q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) \right] \operatorname{div}(\varphi); \\
I_2[\varphi] &= \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left[q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left[(\Phi^{\varepsilon} - A^{\varepsilon} \chi^{\varepsilon}) S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \left[(\hat{A} - A^{\varepsilon}) (S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) - \nabla u_0) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi; \\
I_3[\varphi] &= - \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla (\varepsilon \theta_{\varepsilon} \Phi^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi - \int_{\Omega} \left[\nabla (\varepsilon \theta_{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

For the estimate on I_1 , by the energy estimate (2.3), and since $\pi \in L^2(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $q \in H^1(Y; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $|\nabla \theta_{\varepsilon}| \leq \kappa/\varepsilon$, by Hölder inequality we have

$$|I_1[\varphi]| \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\operatorname{div}(\varphi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{3.25}$$

where C depends only on d , μ , and Ω . By Proposition 2.1-2.2, (3.2), and Hölder inequality, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2[\varphi]| &\leq C\varepsilon \left([\|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}^2 + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(Y)}^2 + \|q\|_{L^2(Y)}^2 + 1] \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right) \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

For I_3 , since $\operatorname{supp} \theta_{\varepsilon} \subset (\partial\Omega)_{\varepsilon}$ and again by Hölder inequality, we have

$$|I_3[\varphi]| \leq C \left(\|\varepsilon \theta_{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\varepsilon \theta_{\varepsilon} \Phi^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2\varepsilon})}. \tag{3.27}$$

For the first term in the parentheses of (3.27),

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \|\theta_{\varepsilon} q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq C\varepsilon \left\{ \|q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|(\nabla \theta_{\varepsilon}) q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\theta_{\varepsilon} (\nabla q)^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right\} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \left\{ \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \varepsilon^{-1} \|q^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2((\partial\Omega)_{\varepsilon})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon^{-1} \|(\nabla q)^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2((\partial\Omega)_{\varepsilon})} \right\} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where we have used Proposition 2.2 for the second last inequality and Lemma 3.4 for the last. Using the same manner, we can show that

$$\varepsilon \|\theta_{\varepsilon} \Phi^{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{3.29}$$

Therefore, by (3.2), we have proved that

$$|I_3[\varphi]| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2\varepsilon})} \tag{3.30}$$

Hence, by combining (3.25), (3.26) and (3.30), we know that

$$|a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi)| \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \left[\|\operatorname{div}(\varphi)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right],$$

where C depends only on d , μ , and Ω . $\square$

Proof of estimate (1.9). We will now prove (1.9) by energy estimates. Noticing that by Lemma 3.3,

$$\|\operatorname{div}(v_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \quad (3.31)$$

If we choose $\varphi = v_\varepsilon$ itself in Lemma 3.5, therefore by ellipticity condition(1.5), (3.15) and (3.31), we see that

$$\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \left[\varepsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right]$$

This implies the desired result,

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad (3.32)$$

where C depends only on d , μ , and Ω . $\square$

4 Convergence rates of the pressure term

Before proving (1.10), recall that if $(u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of any Stokes systems (1.1), then

$$\|p_\varepsilon - \int_\Omega p_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla p_\varepsilon\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \|F\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} + \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where C depends only on d , μ , and Ω (see e.g. [22]).

Proof of estimate (1.10). Recalling from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon))^\alpha &= -\frac{\partial[p_\varepsilon - p_0]}{\partial x_\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left[\widehat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right] \left[S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ik}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_j^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(b_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\varepsilon \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \left(\varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) \right) \right] S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= K_1 + K_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Since $\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta} = -\Phi_{ikj}^{\alpha\beta}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \left(\varepsilon \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) \\ &= -\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term in the RHS of (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_j} \right) \\ &= K_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In view of (3.10), for the first term on the RHS of (4.4), we obtain

$$K_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \left(\pi_j^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \left(\varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

We have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon))^\alpha &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \left(p_\varepsilon - p_0 - \pi_j^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - \varepsilon q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left[a_{ij}^{\alpha\gamma}(x/\varepsilon) \chi_k^{\gamma\beta}(x/\varepsilon) - \Phi_{kij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right] S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(q_{ij}^\beta(x/\varepsilon) S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left[\hat{a}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x/\varepsilon) \right] \left[\frac{\partial u_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} - S_\varepsilon \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_0^\beta}{\partial x_j} \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

By applying (4.1) to (4.6), and recall that $\int_\Omega p_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega p_0 = 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left[p_\varepsilon - p_0 - \pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) - \varepsilon q^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) \right] + \int_\Omega \left[\pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) + \varepsilon q^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) \right] \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla \left[p_\varepsilon - p_0 - \pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) - \varepsilon q^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) \right] \right\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\varepsilon \left[\|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|\Phi\|_{L^2(Y)} + \|q\|_{L^2(Y)} + 1 \right] \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where we have used Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 for the second last inequality, (1.9) and (3.2) for the last, and the constant C is independent of ε . By Propostion 2.1 and (3.2), we see that

$$\varepsilon \|q^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0) - \int q^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla^2 \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon \|\tilde{u}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \quad (4.8)$$

By combining (4.7) and (4.8), we have proved that

$$\|p_\varepsilon - p_0 - \left[\pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) - \oint_\Omega \pi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0) \right]\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

□

5 Convergence rates for u_ε in L^2

Now we assume that $\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega u_0 = 0$. To establish the sharp $O(\varepsilon)$ rate for u_ε in L^2 , noticing that

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|\chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.1)$$

Using Proposition 2.2 and (3.2),

$$\|\chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla \tilde{u}_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\chi\|_{L^2(Y)}\|\nabla \tilde{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, (1.8) has been reduced to prove

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \quad (5.2)$$

for which we will use the duality argument.

Proof of Theorem (1.1). We consider the following duality problems, for any $H \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, let $(\varphi_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon) \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ be the weak solution of ,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^*(\varphi_\varepsilon) + \nabla \sigma_\varepsilon = H - \oint_\Omega H & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \varphi_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} \right)^* - \sigma_\varepsilon \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

and $(\varphi_0, \sigma_0) \in H_0^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times H^1(\Omega)$ the weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_0^*(\varphi_0) + \nabla \sigma_0 = H - \oint_\Omega H & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \varphi_0 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \nu_0} \right)^* - \sigma_0 \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

with

$$\int_\Omega \sigma_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega \sigma_0 = 0.$$

Here we have used the notations: $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^* = -\operatorname{div}(A^*(x/\varepsilon)\nabla)$ and $\mathcal{L}_0^* = -\operatorname{div}(\widehat{A^*}\nabla)$, while $\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_\varepsilon} \right)^*$ and $\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial \nu_0} \right)^*$ are the corresponding conormal derivatives. We note that Theorem

1.2 continues to hold for $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^*$, as A^* satisfies the same conditions as A . Also, by the $W^{2,2}$ estimates (2.4) for Stokes systems with constant coefficients in $C^{1,1}$ domains,

$$\|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\sigma_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.5)$$

As a result, we have

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon - \varphi_0 - \varepsilon\chi^{*\varepsilon}S_\varepsilon(\nabla\tilde{\varphi}_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.6)$$

where (χ^*, π^*) denotes the correctors associated with adjoint matrix A^* . Therefore through dual pairing, and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} v_\varepsilon \left(H - \int_{\Omega} H \right) &= \langle \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^*(\varphi_\varepsilon), v_\varepsilon \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)} + \int_{\Omega} v_\varepsilon \nabla \sigma_\varepsilon \\ &= a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon) - \int_{\Omega} \sigma_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(v_\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

By (3.31), (5.5) and (2.3), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \sigma_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(v_\varepsilon) \right| &\leq C\|\sigma_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\operatorname{div}(v_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} (\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

By choosing $\varphi = \varphi_\varepsilon$ in (3.23), we get

$$a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon) = I_1[\varphi_\varepsilon] + I_2[\varphi_\varepsilon] + I_3[\varphi_\varepsilon]$$

where I_1 , I_2 and I_3 are defined in (3.24). Therefore since $\operatorname{div}(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$, then by Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon)| &\leq C\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} [\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \varepsilon\|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}] \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we have used (2.3) for the last inequality and C is independent of ε . Simply by triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} &\leq \|\nabla(\varphi_\varepsilon - \varphi_0 - \varepsilon\chi^{*\varepsilon}S_\varepsilon(\nabla\tilde{\varphi}_0))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|\nabla(\varepsilon\chi^{*\varepsilon}S_\varepsilon(\nabla\tilde{\varphi}_0))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Directly deriving from (5.6), we know that

$$\|\nabla(\varphi_\varepsilon - \varphi_0 - \varepsilon\chi^{*\varepsilon}S_\varepsilon(\nabla\tilde{\varphi}_0))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.11)$$

By using Lemma 3.4 and (3.2) again, we get

$$\|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C(\varepsilon\|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{1/2} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.12)$$

Similar as in (3.28), by Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.4, Proposition 2.2 and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\varepsilon\chi^{*\varepsilon}S_\varepsilon(\nabla\tilde{\varphi}_0))\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} &\leq C\left\{\|(\nabla\chi^*)^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\tilde{\varphi}_0)\|_{L^2((\partial\Omega)_\varepsilon)} + \varepsilon\|\tilde{\varphi}_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right\} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Substituting (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13) into (5.10), we have proved that

$$\|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.14)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon)| &\leq C\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\varepsilon^{1/2}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}(\varepsilon^{1/2}\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Hence, by using (5.15) and (5.7), we already proved that for any $H \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon [H - \fint_\Omega H] \right| &\leq |a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon)| + \left| \int_\Omega \sigma_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\varphi_\varepsilon) \right| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Therefore, since $\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega u_0 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon H \right| &\leq \left| \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon [H - \fint_\Omega H] \right| + \left| \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon (\fint_\Omega H) \right| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + C \left| \int_\Omega \varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon(\nabla\tilde{u}_0) (\fint_\Omega H) \right| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|H\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where we have used (5.17) for the second last inequality and Proposition (2.2) for the last, for any $H \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$. By duality, this implies

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \quad (5.18)$$

where C is independent of ε . Therefore we have complete the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Bensoussan, J. Lions, and G. Papanicolaou, *Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*, corrected reprint of the 1978 original ed., AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [2] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, and G. Griso, *Periodic unfolding and homogenization*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **335** (2002), no. 1, 99–104.

- [3] ———, *The periodic unfolding method in homogenization*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **40** (2008), no. 4, 1585–1620.
- [4] M. Giaquinta and G. Modica, *Nonlinear systems of the type of the stationary Navier-Stokes system*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **330** (1982), 173–214.
- [5] G. Griso, *Error estimate and unfolding for periodic homogenization*, Asymptot. Anal. **40** (2004), no. 3-4, 269–286.
- [6] ———, *Interior error estimate for periodic homogenization*, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) **4** (2006), no. 1, 61–79.
- [7] S. Gu, *Convergence rates in homogenization of Stokes systems*, to appear in J. Differential Equations (2016).
- [8] S. Gu and Z. Shen, *Homogenization of Stokes systems and uniform regularity estimates*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **47** (2015), no. 5, 4025–4057.
- [9] V. V. Jikov, S. M. Kozlov, and O. A. Oleynik, *Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [10] C. E. Kenig, F. Lin, and Z. Shen, *Convergence Rates in L^2 for Elliptic Homogenization Problems*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **203** (2012), no. 3, 1009–1036 (English).
- [11] ———, *Periodic homogenization of Green and Neumann functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **67** (2014), no. 8, 1219–1262.
- [12] C. E. Kenig and Z. Shen, *Homogenization of elliptic boundary value problems in Lipschitz domains*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), no. 4, 867–917 (English).
- [13] ———, *Layer potential methods for elliptic homogenization problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **64** (2011), no. 1, 1–44.
- [14] S. Moskow and M. Vogelius, *First-order corrections to the homogenised eigenvalues of a periodic composite medium. A convergence proof.*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **127** (1997), no. 6, 1263–1299.
- [15] D. Onofrei and B. Vernescu, *Error estimates for periodic homogenization with non-smooth coefficients*, Asymptot. Anal. **54** (2007), no. 1-2, 103–123.
- [16] M. A. Pakhnin and T. A. Suslina, *Operator error estimates for the homogenization of the elliptic Dirichlet problem in a bounded domain*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **24** (2013), no. 6, 949–976.
- [17] S. E. Pastukhova, *On some estimates from the homogenization of problems in plasticity theory. (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **406** (2006), no. 5, 604–608.
- [18] Z. Shen, *Boundary Estimates in Elliptic Homogenization*, arXiv:1505.00694 (2015).

- [19] Z. Shen and J Zhuge, *Convergence Rates in Periodic Homogenization of Systems of Elasticity*, arXiv:1512.00823 (2015).
- [20] T. A. Suslina, *Homogenization of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic systems: L_2 -operator error estimates*, *Mathematika* **59** (2013), no. 2, 463–476.
- [21] ———, *Homogenization of the Neumann problem for elliptic systems with periodic coefficients*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **45** (2013), no. 6, 3453–3493.
- [22] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis.*, *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 2, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977.
- [23] V. V. Zhikov and S. E. Pastukhova, *On operator estimates for some problems in homogenization theory*, *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* **12** (2005), no. 4, 515–524.

Shu Gu, Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506, USA.

E-mail: gushu0329@uky.edu

December 29, 2015